

L6PPMELS

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

4 v.

19 CR 480 (RA)  
Remote Sentence

5 JOSEPH MELI,

6 Defendant.

7 -----x

8 New York, N.Y.  
9 June 25, 2021  
9:11 a.m.

10 Before:

11 HON. RONNIE ABRAMS,

12 District Judge

13 APPEARANCES VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE

14 AUDREY STRAUSS,

15 United States Attorney for the  
Southern District of New York

16 BY: MICAH F. FERGENSON

Assistant United States Attorney

17 MERINGOLO & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

18 Attorneys for Defendant

19 BY: JOHN C. MERINGOLO

AND

20 LAW OFFICE OF ANTHONY DiPIETRO

BY: ANTHONY DiPIETRO

L6PPMELS

1 (The Court and all parties appearing via  
2 videoconference)

3 (Case called)

4 MR. FERGENSON: Good morning, your Honor. Micah  
5 Fergenson for the government.

6 THE COURT: Good morning.

7 MR. MERINGOLO: Good morning, your Honor. John  
8 Meringolo and Anthony DiPietro for Mr. Meli.

9 THE COURT: Good morning.

10 Mr. Meli, can you hear me? This is Judge Abrams.

11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I can, Judge Abrams. Thank you.  
12 Can you hear me?

13 THE COURT: I can, but I just wanted to make sure that  
14 I can see you as well. If at any time during this proceeding  
15 you can't see or hear anyone, or if at any time during this  
16 proceeding you would like to speak privately with your  
17 attorney, please let me know. Okay?

18 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Okay. So, first, I just want to remind  
20 everyone that this is a public proceeding; so members of the  
21 public and the press are able to access the proceeding through  
22 the public call-in number, but all participants or listeners  
23 are reminded that no recording or rebroadcasting is permitted.

24 So we're, of course, in the middle of the Covid-19  
25 pandemic, and I must conduct this proceeding remotely pursuant

L6PPMELS

1 to the authority provided by section 15002 of the CARES Act and  
2 the standing orders issued by our chief judge. I'm proceeding  
3 by videoconference, although, I am within the district. And  
4 counsel are proceeding by videoconference, as well, and  
5 Mr. Meli, the defendant, is proceeding from Otisville  
6 Correctional Facility.

7 All right. Could counsel please describe the process  
8 by which you discussed with Mr. Meli his right to be present in  
9 court for this proceeding and any knowing and voluntary waiver  
10 of that right?

11 MR. MERINGOLO: Yes, your Honor. This is John  
12 Meringolo for Mr. Meli. We've had numerous conversations,  
13 legal calls and such. In addition to that, at the plea  
14 hearing, I believe which your Honor presided over, we agreed on  
15 the record that Mr. Meli would proceed with this sentencing  
16 from Otisville due to the Covid restraints and the constant  
17 isolation and lockdown that he would have to go through because  
18 right before his plea, he was transferred to Otisville and he  
19 had to quarantine. And if he had to come back, it would have  
20 been very detrimental to him.

21 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Meli, I just want to  
22 just confirm with you that you understand that you have a right  
23 to be physically present in court, in person for this  
24 proceeding, but that you are choosing to give up that right and  
25 proceed by way of videoconference. Is that correct?

L6PPMELS

1 THE DEFENDANT: That's correct, your Honor.

2 THE COURT: All right. I find that a knowing and  
3 voluntary waiver of the right to be physically present for this  
4 sentencing has been made. I also find that today's proceeding  
5 cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interest  
6 of justice because it's important that we proceed with this  
7 sentencing.

8 All right. This matter is on for sentencing. Of  
9 course, Mr. Meli pled guilty in April to conspiracy to commit  
10 wire fraud and securities fraud, in violation of 18, United  
11 States Code, Section 371.

12 In connection with today's proceeding, I've reviewed  
13 the following submissions: The presentence report, dated  
14 June 22nd, 2021, including the recommendation and addendum;  
15 Mr. Meli's sentencing memorandum, dated June 10th, with  
16 accompanying exhibits. I also more recently received an  
17 additional letter from Mr. Meli's son, filed on June 22nd,  
18 2021; and I received the government's sentencing memorandum,  
19 dated June 16th, 2021.

20 I did receive a call from one of Mr. Meli's victims.  
21 I'm not sure if he's on the line, and I'm going to ask later in  
22 the proceeding if there are any victims who would like to be  
23 heard, but I did want to note for the record that I got a call  
24 saying that he just found out that Mr. Meli was being sentenced  
25 again, and he wants me to know that: He is not a good guy.

L6PPMELS

1 What he did to my family was like that of Bernie Madoff.

2 It's not clear to me if this victim was a victim of  
3 this particular fraud or of the prior fraud for which he was  
4 sentenced before Judge Wood, but again, I'm going to ask the  
5 government to see if any victims would like to be heard today.

6 All right. So are there any other submissions that I  
7 am missing?

8 MR. MERINGOLO: Nothing from the defense, Judge.

9 MR. FERGENSON: Nothing from the government, your  
10 Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right. So let's  
12 begin by discussing the presentence report prepared by the  
13 probation department. Mr. Meringolo, have you reviewed the  
14 presentence report and discussed it with your client?

15 MR. MERINGOLO: Yes, I have, Judge.

16 THE COURT: Do you have any objections?

17 MR. MERINGOLO: We do not have any objections.

18 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Meli, have you reviewed  
19 the presentence report and discussed it with your attorney?

20 THE DEFENDANT: I have discussed it with my attorney,  
21 your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Okay. And did you have enough time and  
23 opportunity to review the entire presentence report with your  
24 attorney?

25 THE DEFENDANT: We did it over the phone. It was --

L6PPMELS

1 but I have not physically held the presentence report, your  
2 Honor.

3 THE COURT: But he read the whole thing to you and --

4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

5 THE COURT: -- and you feel like you have had a full  
6 opportunity to review it and raise any objections; is that fair  
7 to say?

8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, that's fair to say.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Thank you. Does the government have any  
12 objections to the presentence report?

13 MR. FERGENSON: No, your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. The presentence report  
15 will be made a part of the record in this matter and placed  
16 under seal. I am adopting the factual findings in that report.  
17 If an appeal is taken, counsel on appeal may have access to the  
18 sealed report without further application to the Court.

19 Now, Mr. Meli, when you pled guilty back in April, we  
20 discussed the federal sentencing guidelines. Do you remember  
21 that?

22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Okay. So the guidelines are a set of  
24 rules published by the Sentencing Commission in order to guide  
25 judges when they impose sentence. Although at one time they

L6PPMELS

1 were mandatory, meaning judges were required to follow the  
2 guidelines, they are no longer mandatory, meaning they're not  
3 binding. Nonetheless, judges must consider the guidelines in  
4 determining an appropriate sentence, and then must ensure that  
5 they've been properly calculated.

6 Do the parties agree with the guidelines calculation  
7 in the presentence report pursuant to which Mr. Meli is facing  
8 a guidelines range of 37 to 46 months in prison?

9 MR. MERINGOLO: From the defense, yes, Judge.

10 MR. FERGENSON: Your Honor, the government has no  
11 objection to the guidelines range in the PSR. It stands by the  
12 stipulated guidelines range in the plea of, I believe, 30 to 37  
13 months' imprisonment.

14 THE COURT: Oh, all right. So let's just get out the  
15 PSR. So the PSR calculated the guidelines at 37 to 46 months.  
16 The plea agreement was 30 to 37 months? Let's just get that  
17 out again.

18 MR. MERINGOLO: No, Judge. The plea agreement was for  
19 37 to 46 months.

20 THE COURT: Oh, it was. Okay. Mr. Fergenson?

21 MR. FERGENSON: I apologize, your Honor. There must  
22 be a typo in my notes here. My apologies.

23 THE COURT: All right. So we are all on the same  
24 page. I just want to confirm with everyone that we're on the  
25 same page that Mr. Meli's offense level is 19, his criminal

L6PPMELS

1 history category is III, and his recommended guidelines  
2 sentence is 36 to 47 months in prison; is that right?

3 MR. FERGENSON: Yes, your Honor.

4 MR. MERINGOLO: That is correct, Judge.

5 THE COURT: Okay. I am just going to go back to the  
6 presentence report just to confirm that there might be a  
7 discrepancy. I will note that the presentence report notes  
8 that the plea agreement did, indeed, calculate the guidelines  
9 range at 30 to 37 months. So let's just look at the  
10 calculation and make sure that we all agree.

11 (Pause)

12 Right. So the presentence report, again, calculates  
13 his guidelines range at 19. I think we all agree on that.  
14 He's in criminal history category III, which is 37 to 46  
15 months. And the reason he's in criminal history category III  
16 is because he got three points for the 2017 conviction, 2018  
17 sentence, before Judge Wood, and then there were two points  
18 added because the instant offense was committed while Mr. Meli  
19 was under a criminal justice sentence for the October 31st,  
20 2017, conviction.

21 Okay. All right. I just wanted to confirm that.  
22 With no objection, that is the guidelines calculation that I'm  
23 adopting. As I said a moment ago, that range is only advisory.  
24 I may impose a sentence outside of that range based on one of  
25 two concepts, a departure or a variance.



L6PPMELS

1           A departure allows for a sentence outside of the  
2       advisory range based on some provision of the guidelines. In  
3       the plea agreement -- and the plea agreement did indeed -- I  
4       think it seems like the PSR maybe made a mistake. So I'm  
5       looking at the plea agreement now, and the plea agreement does  
6       indeed calculate the stipulated guidelines range as 37 to 46  
7       months. So in any event, we're all in agreement that that's  
8       what it is.

9           So in the plea agreement, both parties agree that no  
10      departure from the guidelines range is warranted.  
11      Nevertheless, I've considered whether there's an appropriate  
12      basis for a departure from the advisory range within the  
13      guidelines system. And while recognizing that I have the  
14      authority to depart, I don't find any grounds warranting  
15      departure under the guidelines.

16           I do, though, also have the power to impose a  
17      non-guidelines sentence based on what we call a variance, which  
18      is what I understand the defendant is seeking.

19           So with that, why don't we hear from the parties.  
20      Would the government like to be heard?

21           MR. FERGENSON: Yes, your Honor. I think there are a  
22      few points that the government would like to make, in addition  
23      to its written submission, as to why a guidelines sentence is  
24      appropriate in this case.

25           The first is that the context in which Mr. Meli

L6PPMELS

1 committed this fraud is truly egregious. This scheme was  
2 concocted not only to commit a fraud on investors, but on  
3 another level to commit a fraud on the Court and the justice  
4 system. It was initiated shortly after the defendant's arrest  
5 and was, in many respects, similar to the scheme for which --  
6 and when I say "the defendant's arrest," his arrest of his  
7 original case before Judge Wood.

8 The scheme that he is being sentenced on today was  
9 similar to the scheme he had been running before, but he had  
10 also -- after being arrested, he needed to hide his  
11 involvement; so he made -- he used a new front company, and he  
12 used new front employees, investor-facing individuals,  
13 including a family member of his, his co-defendant, James  
14 Siniscalchi. Also deceived investors and continued stealing  
15 money while his criminal case was proceeding before Judge Wood.

16 Much of the money stolen, about \$2 million in total,  
17 went to his family members. It also went to his apartment  
18 complex to continue funding his -- what was an extravagant and  
19 lavish lifestyle, and I think importantly, some of that money  
20 even went to fund his criminal defense in the original case,  
21 your Honor. He continued to steal investor money to pay for  
22 expensive lawyers that were representing him in that original  
23 case.

24 He continued this fraud while his case moved forward.  
25 He maintained this fiction that he had tickets; that his prior

L6PPMELS

1 crime was due to accounting errors or mistakes. That was  
2 simply not the case. There were no tickets, and Mr. Meli never  
3 came clean.

4 In court, in that original case, your Honor, he  
5 consistently minimized. He would describe his conduct as  
6 mistakes at his original sentencing. He even had, what I think  
7 can be fairly termed, the Chutzpah to say to Judge Wood at his  
8 sentencing -- which took place in April 2018, right while this  
9 fraud is continuing going on, the one that we're here for  
10 today -- to tell her that: "My major weakness is transparency.  
11 I should have listened to people who told me I needed to focus  
12 more on that. I could have avoided a lot of the mistakes that  
13 have brought me here today."

14 He said that while he was actually defrauding new  
15 investors in a substantially similar scheme, your Honor. And  
16 again, I think it's noteworthy to say that not only was he  
17 misleading pretrial services and misleading the Court and the  
18 government about what he was doing, but he was even using, at  
19 that time, investor money to fund his criminal defense.

20 Worse still, your Honor, even after being sentenced,  
21 the defendant, through intermediaries who were ostensibly  
22 running this new front company, Indio, he lulled investors into  
23 thinking they would receive a return on their investment up  
24 until the time he surrendered to serve his sentence.

25 The notion that a defendant somehow voluntarily

L6PPMELS

1 withdrew or ceased this criminal activity is just dead wrong,  
2 your Honor. The only time he stopped was when he was actually  
3 imprisoned. At that time, the defendant knew his calls were  
4 recorded, his e-mails could be monitored, and that's the only  
5 time that the music finally stopped on this new fraud.

6 I think it's important to note that in addition to the  
7 context of the fraud that is just so egregious, your Honor,  
8 that this was a substantial fraud in its own right. It was a  
9 fraud that Mr. Meli had never been punished for. It's a fraud  
10 that his victims have never, for this fraud, have never gotten  
11 some sense of justice on, and it was significant in that it was  
12 millions of dollars. It was approximately two million more  
13 dollars, your Honor. It's not an insignificant sum of money.

14 And just to be clear, your Honor, this fraud was  
15 unknown to Judge Wood at the original sentencing, and Meli's  
16 involvement in the fraud was unknown to the government. None  
17 of that is accounted for in the sentence that Mr. Meli is  
18 currently serving.

19 Based on all of that, your Honor, the government would  
20 respectfully submit that a guidelines sentence is necessary,  
21 first, to provide just punishment on behalf of this crime's  
22 victims. It's necessary to provide deterrence, both specific  
23 and general, your Honor. And I think, perhaps most importantly  
24 in some respects, your Honor, to promote respect for the law.

25 If Meli gets a concurrent sentence or a slap on the

L6PPMELS

1 wrist for this crime, it would suggest that he could get a pass  
2 on new crimes. Because of the severity of his prior crimes,  
3 the government would respectfully submit that that is the wrong  
4 message to send both to him and to the public. No one should  
5 get a pass on the conduct that Mr. Meli engaged in here. To  
6 the contrary.

7 The fact that he did it while being prosecuted,  
8 admitting his guilt and after being sentenced in a  
9 substantially similar fraud, actually indicates that a  
10 significant sentence is appropriate here because that will show  
11 Mr. Meli and others that he was not, is not and never will be  
12 above the law, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: What's your view on consecutive versus  
14 concurrent time? Is your view, like that of the probation  
15 department, that the entirety of the sentence be consecutive?

16 MR. FERGENSON: Yes, your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Or are you not taking a position on that?

18 MR. FERGENSON: No, your Honor. I believe that your  
19 Honor has discretion in that matter, but I think that it would  
20 be appropriate, given that this is a separate crime that  
21 Mr. Meli committed that he has not been previously punished  
22 for, I believe that a consecutive sentence would be  
23 appropriate.

24 THE COURT: Are there any victims who would like to  
25 speak today?

L6PPMELS

(Pause)

MR. FERGENSON: The government is not aware of any, but I will wait a moment in case.

THE COURT: I assume you've notified the victims of this proceeding, correct?

MR. FERGENSON: We did, your Honor.

THE COURT: So I'm just going to ask more generally if there are any victims on the line who would like to be heard today. I did read the statement of a Robert Calsberg, who called this morning, but as I noted, it's not clear to me, and maybe Mr. Ferguson you know, if he was one of the victims from this fraud or the prior fraud?

MR. FERGENSON: Your Honor, unfortunately, I do not know, and I don't want to take a guess.

THE COURT: So, again, are there any victims on the line who would like to be heard today?

(Pause)

Okay. Mr. Meringolo, would you like to be heard?

MR. MERINGOLO: Yes, Judge. Judge, I don't want to undermine the crime whatsoever and the previous crime. I do want to just identify a few things that the government has said. And the government is correct, basically, in everything they said about the Wood's case, but what was really more compelling, Judge, is that during that case and the sentencing, the quote that the government made, that maybe Mr. Meli didn't

L6PPMELS

1 accept full responsibility for his crimes, was an indication of  
2 him not really being explained and aware of what the crimes  
3 were.

4 That's when -- I'm not -- just so you know, Judge, I'm  
5 not the lawyer that took any money from the victims. I'm not  
6 that high-priced lawyer. I'm just John Meringolo.

7 But when I got into the case, I explained to Mr. Meli  
8 basically the other case and this case, and we and the  
9 government and I, who he's a gentleman, we negotiated this  
10 particular deal for this particular case. And that's what I'm  
11 here for to argue to the Court. We would like a under, you  
12 know, a guidelines sentence for a concurrent sentence for  
13 multiple factors, and I will list the three or four factors  
14 right now.

15 First and foremost, I don't believe the probation  
16 office or the government addressed the Covid issue, and the  
17 Covid issue for Mr. Meli is a very, very significant issue that  
18 we would respectfully ask the Court to take into consideration  
19 when giving this sentence. And the reason why, is that  
20 Mr. Meli spent 22 months, 14 of which was during Covid, at the  
21 MDC.

22 And I'm not going to belabor, you know, what the Court  
23 has done or what judges in the Southern District and around the  
24 country have decided, you know, what to do with respect to an  
25 individuals in a lockup like Mr. Meli. But Mr. Meli, you know,

L6PPMELS

1 he's in his forties. He's a cancer survivor. At that time, he  
2 could not see his family, you know, just the mental anguish of  
3 when Covid happened.

4 I think now, with the vaccine, we all have a grip on  
5 it, but just putting not only Mr. Meli, all defendants, in that  
6 situation of being, in this case, in MCC and MDC, you know, we  
7 believe that your Honor can use that in order to grant a  
8 downward variance because it was a substantial issue.

9 In addition to that, he could not see his family. He  
10 could not see his lawyer. It was very hard for him, and all  
11 the defendants at that particular time, and we respectfully ask  
12 your Honor to take that into consideration.

13 In addition to that, Judge, we would like, under  
14 3553(a), to take his family circumstances into consideration.  
15 And, you know, he has his wife and his four children, his mom  
16 and his dad. His wife moved out to Minnesota with his four  
17 children. We submitted the letter from his son, which was very  
18 eloquently put, you know, to show you that Mr. Meli and his  
19 wife are good parents. Despite these crimes, you know, he is a  
20 very, very good father, and I would like you to know that.

21 His wife is doing a tremendous job, but it's very  
22 difficult for her and the four kids and that -- to digress to  
23 specific deterrence, you know, your Honor, I think he's going  
24 to move to Minnesota. There are conditions that you could set  
25 forth, which would preclude him or be monitored, whether it be



L6PPMELS

1 the internet or the cell phone, and he's going to get out of  
2 the New York area.

3 And I think that's where basically all these crimes  
4 happened, you know, with whatever he was doing. And usually,  
5 you know, when you decide to move -- you know, most defendants  
6 don't move, but when you decide to move and you take yourself  
7 out and you realize what is important -- and I think since  
8 Mr. Meli's been incarcerated, not being able to see his family,  
9 going through the Covid, I believe -- I mean, it's really  
10 Mr. Meli and I'm just his advocate, Judge, but I think that  
11 today he knows what's more important in life, and it's your  
12 family.

13 I mean, at the end of the day, we all know on this  
14 call, whoever is on this call, that really that's the most  
15 important thing, you know. And we would like you to take that  
16 into consideration.

17 Lastly, Judge, you know, Mr. Meli -- the warden was  
18 going to let Mr. Meli out about a month-and-a-half ago, I  
19 believe. He had documentation, papers, and he was going to be  
20 put on home confinement for the rest of the previous sentence  
21 that Judge Wood gave him. And Mr. Meli, at first, you know, we  
22 discussed this and, you know, and I just said, you know, don't  
23 tell your wife and kids until it gets close, Mr. Meli, because,  
24 you know, you just never know.

25 So he spent his time in quarantine. He was two or

L6PPMELS

1 three days or a week, I don't recall the time period, Judge,  
2 when he was going to be released, and he got the letter to be  
3 released; so he did let his wife and his children know. And  
4 although this isn't part of any legal argument, so to speak,  
5 it's just it's not nice what happened to him. I mean, I don't  
6 know how else to describe it, but it not being nice that they  
7 said he was going to be released, and then the day he was going  
8 to be released, his family was coming home. Yes, we had this  
9 case, Judge; yes, you're going to sentence on this case, but he  
10 was going to be permitted to do the rest of the time at home  
11 with his family, which is --

12 THE COURT: Look, I read this argument in your papers  
13 and in the letters. I fully understand why that would be  
14 emotionally trying --

15 MR. MERINGOLO: Yes.

16 THE COURT: -- and upsetting for Mr. Meli and his  
17 family, but I'm not sure how that affects this sentence in this  
18 case.

19 MR. MERINGOLO: I understand, Judge.

20 THE COURT: I mean, I'm going to consider it, like  
21 I'll consider all of the other factors, as I do, under 3553(a).

22 MR. MERINGOLO: Yes, Judge.

23 THE COURT: But I understand why it would be  
24 disappointing, but it's not something he was entitled to in any  
25 way. I mean, Judge Wood gave a very severe sentence --

L6PPMELS

1 MR. MERINGOLO: She did.

2 THE COURT: -- because she thought it was appropriate  
3 in that particular case. But in any event, I can understand  
4 how that would be, you know, very traumatic for the family and  
5 for Mr. Meli.

6 MR. MERINGOLO: Yes. And, Judge, I think the reason  
7 why he got a substantial sentence from Judge Wood is during  
8 that sentencing, you know, maybe she felt that he didn't accept  
9 responsibility at that time, and that's why it was, you know, a  
10 hundred-page sentencing and lawyers were going back and forth,  
11 which I don't think, your Honor, we don't have that.

12 He accepts responsibility before this Court. If you  
13 read the PSR, he accepts responsibility. He would like to make  
14 a statement to your Honor. He's told me that he accepts  
15 responsibility, and I think that is a tremendous difference and  
16 that's why we would like you to take all of these things into  
17 consideration in addition to his statement, Judge, and do the  
18 lowest sentence possible. Thank you very much.

19 THE COURT: Thank you.

20 So, Mr. Meli, would you like to be heard??

21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. Excuse me for being  
22 nervous when I speak.

23 THE COURT: That's all right. Take your time.

24 THE DEFENDANT: I would like to say I'm -- I'd like to  
25 take a moment to apologize to everyone and anyone who has been

L6PPMELS

1 hurt by my actions. I acknowledge what I did was absolutely  
2 wrong. I have spent the last three years, your Honor, every  
3 day I wake up, I try to do my very best to think about what I  
4 could do to make amends, how I got here, re-prioritizing what  
5 my thinking is going to be, creating an action plan to try and  
6 come out and prove. And given the opportunity, I'm going to  
7 prove, and when given the opportunity, I will prove that I will  
8 be a model for rehabilitation and a model for redemption.

9 I will work exclusively within the confines of the law  
10 to show all of my victims that I'm very serious, very, very  
11 serious about honoring all of the laws and doing everything I  
12 can to make amends. Everything. And it's going to be very  
13 difficult because there's a lot of trust that's been broken, a  
14 lot of people that feel betrayed, and I live with that every  
15 day.

16 I try to remain grateful for the health of my family,  
17 but I am -- you know, I had real moments, particularly while I  
18 had Covid. While I was sitting there, I've had real moments of  
19 mortality. I've had real moments of worrying about ever being  
20 able to show my sons the man that I really want to be, the man  
21 that I am for them, to be a husband for my wife that I can, and  
22 to be a member back in society that they can be proud of.

23 I'm sorry, Judge Abrams, and I'm sorry to the Court.  
24 I'm sorry to my family, extremely sorry to my family, and to  
25 anybody who was hurt. I beg for the opportunity to help me get

L6PPMELS

1 that second chance as soon as possible. I accept what I have  
2 done, and that's really all I have to say, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

4 So I'm required to consider the advisory guidelines  
5 range of 37 to 46 months, as well as various other factors that  
6 are outlined in a provision of the law that a number of us  
7 referenced already today, it's 18, United States Code, section  
8 3553(a), and I have done so.

9 Those factors include, but are not limited to, the  
10 nature and circumstances of the offense, and the personal  
11 history and characteristics of the defendant, because every  
12 defendant must be considered individually as a person.

13 Judges are also required to consider the need for the  
14 sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense,  
15 promote respect for the law, provide just punishment for the  
16 offense, afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct,  
17 protect the public from future crimes of the defendant, and  
18 avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities, among other things.

19 So as we've discussed, you know, Judge Wood sentenced  
20 Mr. Meli to 78 months in prison for his involvement in an  
21 investment scheme that defrauded over a hundred investors,  
22 totaling approximately \$100 million. It involved purchasing  
23 tickets to various live events for resale and profit on the  
24 secondary market, and distributing the profit among the  
25 investors, but there really were no tickets. And he used the

L6PPMELS

1 investor funds for himself, purchasing a multi-million dollar  
2 home, watches, jewelry, a Porsche. It didn't stop there, and  
3 that was the old case.

4 And I fully recognize what I'm sentencing Mr. Meli for  
5 is this new case, but it is important to put it in context.  
6 And I do think the government made some very legitimate  
7 arguments in that respect. It didn't stop there.

8 I mean, it was after his arrest for this fraud, but  
9 before he pled guilty, but while he was on pretrial supervision  
10 and up to and including when he literally surrendered to begin  
11 serving his sentence, that he was orchestrating and devising  
12 another fraudulent scheme, which is the one that we're here  
13 about today, acting as a silent partner in a new company  
14 called, Indio Entertainment.

15 He recruited his cousin, and co-defendant in this  
16 case, to serve as chief compliance officer of Indio. He used  
17 the same contacts and templates and other documents used in the  
18 first fraudulent scheme to lull investors, executing false  
19 contracts with Indio, pledging to provide certain bulk tickets  
20 for a certain price, and then Indio executed contracts with  
21 investors again, but there were no tickets.

22 The investment funds were transferred into bank  
23 accounts controlled by Mr. Meli and his cousin, or at least  
24 controlled by his cousin, and the money was used for Mr. Meli's  
25 personal expenses. And this scheme caused a loss to the

L6PPMELS

1 victims of over \$2 million, and he's personally accountable for  
2 losses of approximately \$1.9 million.

3 So not only did this scheme harm real people, but I do  
4 have to consider the factors I mentioned. I have to consider  
5 just punishment. I have to ensure that a sentence promotes  
6 respect for the law, and I have to consider the need to deter  
7 Mr. Meli from doing this again, as well as to provide general  
8 deterrence.

9 When we talk about general deterrence, the goal is to  
10 deter others from engaging in similar schemes. And when we  
11 talk about specific deterrence, it's about deterring Mr. Meli  
12 from doing the same thing again.

13 You know, when I was preparing for this sentencing,  
14 the same word came to me that the AUSA mentioned, which was  
15 Chutzpah. It really took not only criminal intent, but given  
16 the timing of when Mr. Meli engaged in this fraud, it took real  
17 Chutzpah as well and a total lack of concern for the victims.

18 So I've considered all of that, but there are  
19 mitigating circumstances here. I've considered Mr. Meli's  
20 health issues. You know, I fully acknowledge, I don't doubt  
21 for a minute, the unimaginably harsh conditions that he was  
22 incarcerated under and was suffering from Covid under.  
23 Particularly in light of his health conditions and being a  
24 cancer survivor, how traumatizing that might have been.

25 I think time during the pandemic it must be much

L6PPMELS

1 harder than it is normally and it never is easy. So I think  
2 that is a very legitimate factor to consider, as well. And I  
3 will note that I read all of the letters from Mr. Meli's family  
4 and fully realize how hard his absence has been on them, but,  
5 you know, sadly, it usually is the family members of the  
6 defendant who are hurt the most, or it can be.

7 Obviously, the victims were hurt as well. So I've  
8 considered all of that --

9 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Judge. It's Alison. I just want  
10 to ensure that Mr. Meringolo is still present. His video lost  
11 but --

12 MR. MERINGOLO: I am. I am.

13 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Okay. Great. Thank you.

14 THE COURT: So I balance all of that. I've considered  
15 whether a downward variance is appropriate. In light of the  
16 crime and all of the factors I just mentioned, I don't think a  
17 downward variance is appropriate here.

18 I'm going to sentence Mr. Meli to be committed to the  
19 custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of 37 months, but  
20 for the reasons that I mentioned, the mitigating factors, I'm  
21 going to make that sentence partially concurrent to the  
22 existing sentence.

23 But in light of the fact that this was a separate  
24 fraud and the circumstances of Mr. Meli engaging in this new  
25 fraud, I'm going to make 12 months, or a year, of that sentence



L6PPMELS

1 consecutive to the sentence imposed by Judge Wood. So it's a  
2 37-month sentence, with 27 months to be served concurrently,  
3 and 12 months -- excuse me, 25 months to be served concurrently  
4 and 12 months to be served consecutively.

5 That term of imprisonment is to be followed by a term  
6 of supervised release of three years, and I just want to think  
7 out if that term of supervised release -- I'm going to make  
8 that term partially consecutive and partially concurrent to the  
9 one imposed by Judge Wood as well. I'm going to make a year of  
10 that to be consecutive, and two years to run concurrently to  
11 the term of supervised release previously imposed.

12 I believe that this sentence is sufficient but not  
13 greater than necessary to comply with the purposes of  
14 sentencing set forth in the law.

15 What I'm going to do now is address the terms of  
16 supervised release. With respect to the term that is  
17 concurrent, the terms imposed by Judge Wood will apply. To the  
18 additional one-year term, I'm going to impose the following  
19 terms.

20 So, Mr. Meringolo, do you want me to read the standard  
21 conditions out loud, or do you waive their public reading?

22 MR. MERINGOLO: Judge, we waive the public reading,  
23 and I will go over them with the client.

24 THE COURT: Okay. So the standard conditions of  
25 supervised release are imposed. The mandatory conditions are

L6PPMELS

1 imposed. You must not unlawfully possess a controlled  
2 substance. You must not commit another federal, state or local  
3 crime. You must refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled  
4 substance. You must submit to one drug test within 15 days of  
5 release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests  
6 thereafter, as determined by the Court.

7 In addition, I'm going to impose the special  
8 conditions recommended by the probation department, in light of  
9 the nature of this crime. You shall submit your person and any  
10 property, residence, vehicle, papers, computer, other  
11 electronic communication, data storage devices, cloud storage,  
12 or media, and effects to a search by any United States  
13 probation officer and, if needed, with the assistance of any  
14 law enforcement.

15 The search is to be conducted when there's reasonable  
16 suspicion concerning violation of a condition of supervision or  
17 unlawful conduct by the person being supervised. Failure to  
18 submit to such a search may be grounds for revocation of  
19 release. You shall warn any other occupants that the premises  
20 may be subject to search pursuant to this condition. Any  
21 search shall be conducted at a reasonable time and in a  
22 reasonable manner.

23 You will participate in an outpatient treatment  
24 approved by the probation office, which program may include  
25 testing to determine whether you've reverted to using drugs or

L6PPMELS

1 alcohol. You must contribute to the cost of services rendered  
2 based on your ability to pay and the availability of  
3 third-party payments. The Court authorizes the release of  
4 available drug treatment evaluations and reports, including the  
5 presentence investigation report, to the substance use disorder  
6 treatment provider.

7 You must participate in an outpatient mental health  
8 treatment program approved by the probation office. You must  
9 continue to take any prescribed medications, unless otherwise  
10 instructed by the healthcare provider.

11 You must contribute to the cost of services rendered  
12 based on your ability to pay and the availability of  
13 third-party payments. The Court authorizes the release of  
14 available psychological and psychiatric evaluations and  
15 reports, including the presentence investigation report, to the  
16 healthcare provider.

17 Again, in light of the nature of the crime, you must  
18 not incur new credit card charges and open additional lines of  
19 credit without the approval of the probation officer, unless  
20 you're in compliance with the installment payment schedule.

21 I'm also imposing that requirement in light of the  
22 restitution and forfeiture orders that will follow. And for  
23 the same reasons, I'm going to require that Mr. Meli provide  
24 the probation officer with access to any requested financial  
25 information.

L6PPMELS

1 All right. So that's supervised release.

2 I'm not going to impose a fine because the probation  
3 office has reported that Mr. Meli will be unable to pay one,  
4 and in light of the very serious restitution and forfeiture  
5 orders, but I am imposing a mandatory special assessment or fee  
6 of \$100, which shall be paid immediately.

7 So at the time of Mr. Meli's plea, I issued a consent  
8 preliminary order of forfeiture money judgment in the amount of  
9 \$2,082,425. I just want to confirm with Mr. Meringolo that  
10 there's no objection to a forfeiture order in that amount?

11 MR. MERINGOLO: No objection, Judge.

12 THE COURT: And I also want to confirm that there's no  
13 objection to a restitution order in the amount of \$1,909,146;  
14 is that correct?

15 MR. MERINGOLO: That is correct. No objection, Judge.

16 THE COURT: All right. That restitution order will be  
17 imposed as well.

18 So that's my sentence. Does either counsel know of  
19 any legal reason why this sentence cannot be imposed?

20 MR. FERGENSON: No, your Honor.

21 MR. MERINGOLO: Not from the defense, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: All right. So that is the sentence that  
23 is imposed.

24 You, Mr. Meli, have the right to appeal your  
25 conviction and sentence, except to whatever extent you may have

L6PPMELS

1 validly waived that right as part of your plea agreement. If  
2 you do choose to appeal, the notice of appeal must be filed  
3 within 14 days of the judgment of conviction. If you're not  
4 able to pay for the cost of an appeal, you may apply for leave  
5 to apply in forma pauperis, which simply means that court  
6 costs, such as filing fees, will be waived. If you request,  
7 the clerk of court will prepare and file a notice of appeal on  
8 your behalf.

9 Is the government moving to dismiss the open counts  
10 against Mr. Meli?

11 MR. FERGENSON: Yes, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: All right. They'll be dismissed.

13 Look, Mr. Meli, as I said, I read all the letters from  
14 your family that were really heart wrenching. They described  
15 you as thoughtful and smart and empathetic and gentle and a  
16 loving and caring father. You know, your son's letter was, you  
17 know, particularly heartfelt. I mean, they all were, really,  
18 and again, I am cognizant of how difficult the incarceration  
19 has been on you and your family and your children.

20 And, you know, just, tragically, that's often what  
21 happens, as I said earlier, to family members of a defendant in  
22 a criminal case. Now, I often say this at sentencing, but I  
23 firmly belief this to be true. I don't think you need to be  
24 defined by the worst mistakes you've ever made, and you've made  
25 some very serious mistakes that have been criminal in nature.

L6PPMELS

1 But I hope what you said is true. I hope you are ready to move  
2 forward, to live life in a way that you can be proud of and  
3 your family can be proud of, and I wish you luck with that.

4 I do want to confirm that you've been able to hear and  
5 see everything and understand everything that's happened in  
6 this proceeding?

7 THE DEFENDANT: I have. Thank you, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Okay. Are there any other applications at  
9 this time?

10 MR. FERGENSON: Not from the government.

11 MR. MERINGOLO: No, your Honor.

12 THE DEPUTY CLERK: It's Alison. I just wanted to make  
13 sure that the government is going to file or submit an order of  
14 restitution for your review.

15 MR. FERGENSON: Yes, the government will.

16 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Okay.

17 THE COURT: All right. Hearing no more applications,  
18 we are adjourned. Thank you.

19 (Adjourned)  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25